

Table of Contents

1: Executive Summary	1-1
1.1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.2. Description of Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.2.1. Project Site.....	1-1
1.2.1.1. General Description.....	1-1
1.2.1.2. Site Zoning.....	1-2
1.2.1.3. Site History and Historic Resources On the Project Site.....	1-3
1.2.1.4. Cultural Resources.....	1-3
1.2.1.5. Current Condition of Site Structures.....	1-4
1.2.2. Planning Principles.....	1-4
1.2.3. Proposed Zoning.....	1-5
1.2.4. Proposed Project.....	1-7
1.2.4.1. Vehicular Circulation.....	1-7
1.2.4.2. Assisted-Living Facility.....	1-8
1.2.4.3. Multi-Family Residential.....	1-8
1.2.4.4. Academic Housing.....	1-10
1.2.4.5. Chapel and Mapleton Building.....	1-11
1.2.4.6. Bicycle and Pedestrian Circulation.....	1-11
1.2.4.7. Campus Landscaping.....	1-12
1.2.4.8. Subdivision.....	1-13
1.3. Project Purpose and Need.....	1-13
1.4. Required Approvals.....	1-14
1.5. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation.....	1-14
1.5.1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	1-14
1.5.1.1. Land Use.....	1-14
1.5.1.2. Zoning.....	1-15
1.5.1.3. Public Policy.....	1-15
1.5.2. Geology, Soils, and Topography.....	1-17
1.5.3. Waters and Wetlands.....	1-17
1.5.4. Stormwater Management.....	1-17
1.5.5. Vegetation and Wildlife.....	1-18
1.5.6. Cultural Resources.....	1-18
1.5.7. Visual Resources.....	1-20
1.5.7.1. On-Site Visual Characteristics.....	1-20
1.5.7.2. Surrounding Areas.....	1-20
1.5.7.3. Views of the Project Site.....	1-21
1.5.7.4. Shadows.....	1-23
1.5.8. Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts.....	1-24
1.5.9. Community Facilities.....	1-25
1.5.10. Infrastructure.....	1-25

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

- 1.5.11. Traffic..... 1-26
- 1.5.12. Air Quality 1-27
- 1.5.13. Noise 1-27
- 1.5.14. Hazardous Materials..... 1-27
 - 1.5.14.1. On-Site Buildings and Tanks 1-27
 - 1.5.14.2. Landfill Area..... 1-28
- 1.5.15. Construction 1-31
- 1.5.16. Other Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project..... 1-32
 - 1.5.16.1. Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources 1-32
 - 1.5.16.2. Growth-Inducing Aspects 1-32
- 1.6. Alternatives Analyzed 1-32
 - 1.6.1. No Action Alternative 1-33
 - 1.6.2. Development Under Existing Zoning..... 1-34
 - 1.6.3. Reduced Height Alternative 1-35
 - 1.6.4. Alternative Site Layout..... 1-36
 - 1.6.5. Partial Convent Preservation and Reduced Density Alternative 1-39
- 2: Project Description 2-1**
 - 2.1. Project Identification 2-1
 - 2.2. Project Site..... 2-1
 - 2.2.1. Site Location and Physical Description 2-1
 - 2.2.2. Existing Site Access and Circulation..... 2-2
 - 2.2.3. Existing Site Zoning 2-3
 - 2.2.4. Environmentally Sensitive Features 2-3
 - 2.3. Project Description 2-4
 - 2.3.1. Planning Principles 2-4
 - 2.3.2. Proposed Zoning..... 2-5
 - 2.3.3. Proposed Project 2-9
 - 2.3.3.1. Assisted-Living Facility..... 2-10
 - 2.3.3.2. Multi-Family Residential..... 2-11
 - 2.3.3.3. Academic Housing..... 2-14
 - 2.3.3.4. Chapel and Mapleton Building..... 2-15
 - 2.3.3.5. Bicycle and Pedestrian Circulation..... 2-15
 - 2.3.3.6. Campus Landscaping..... 2-16
 - 2.3.3.7. Grading and Drainage..... 2-18
 - 2.3.3.8. Subdivision 2-19
 - 2.4. Purpose and Need 2-19
 - 2.5. Site History 2-19
 - 2.5.1. Previous Use of the Project Site 2-19
 - 2.5.2. Historic Resources On the Project Site..... 2-20
 - 2.5.3. Current Condition of Site Structures 2-21
 - 2.5.3.1. Tilford House..... 2-21
 - 2.5.3.2. Mapleton Building 2-22
 - 2.5.3.3. Chapel..... 2-22
 - 2.5.3.4. Convent and 1959 Addition..... 2-22
 - 2.5.3.5. House of Nazareth 2-23
 - 2.5.3.6. Carriage House and Stable..... 2-24
 - 2.5.3.7. St. Ann’s Cottage..... 2-24

2.5.3.8.	Cooking School and Infirmary	2-24
2.5.3.9.	Kearney Sports Building	2-25
2.5.3.10.	Carmody House	2-25
2.5.3.11.	Heating Plant and Workshop	2-25
2.5.3.12.	Landfill Area	2-25
2.6.	Required Approvals	2-27
3:	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	3-1
3.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	3-1
3.2.	Land Use	3-1
3.2.1.	Current Conditions	3-1
3.2.2.	Future without the Proposed Project	3-2
3.2.3.	Potential Land Use Impacts of the Proposed Project	3-3
3.2.4.	Mitigation Measures	3-4
3.3.	Zoning	3-4
3.3.1.	Current Conditions	3-4
3.3.2.	Future without the Proposed Project	3-6
3.3.3.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	3-6
3.3.3.1.	Proposed Zoning	3-6
3.3.3.2.	Proposed Project's Conformance With Zoning Code	3-9
3.4.	Public Policy	3-11
3.4.1.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	3-11
3.4.1.1.	2006 Revisions of the 1997 Comprehensive Plan for the City of White Plains	3-11
3.4.1.2.	1992 White Plains Open Space Inventory	3-20
3.4.1.3.	White Plains Complete Streets Policy	3-21
3.4.1.4.	Westchester County Master Planning	3-21
3.4.2.	Public Policies in the Future without the Proposed Project	3-23
4:	Geology, Soils, and Topography	4-1
4.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	4-1
4.2.	Existing Conditions	4-1
4.2.1.	Topography and Slopes	4-1
4.2.2.	Geology	4-2
4.2.3.	Soils	4-2
4.2.3.1.	ChC: Charlton Loam, 8–15 Percent Slopes	4-3
4.2.3.2.	CsD: Chatfield-Charlton Complex, Hilly, Very Rocky	4-4
4.2.3.3.	Ub: Udorthents, Smoothed	4-4
4.2.3.4.	Uf: Urban Land	4-4
4.2.3.5.	UhB: Urban Land-Charlton Complex, 2–8 Percent Slopes	4-5
4.2.3.6.	UIC: Urban Land-Charlton-Chatfield Complex, Rolling, Very Rocky	4-5
4.3.	Future without the Proposed Project	4-6
4.4.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	4-6
4.5.	Mitigation Measures	4-7
5:	Surface Water and Wetlands	5-1
5.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	5-1
5.1.1.	City of White Plains Regulations	5-1
5.1.2.	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Regulations	5-1

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

5.1.3. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Federal) Regulation.....5-2

5.1.4. Federal Emergency Management Agency 100-Year Floodplain5-2

5.2. Existing Conditions5-3

5.2.1. Surface Water and Wetlands5-3

5.2.2. Federal FEMA 100-Year Floodplain.....5-3

5.3. Future without the Proposed Project5-3

5.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project5-3

5.5. Mitigation Measures5-3

6: Stormwater Management.....6-1

6.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings6-1

6.2. Existing Conditions6-1

6.3. Future without the Proposed Project6-2

6.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project and Mitigation Measures6-2

7: Vegetation and Wildlife7-1

7.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings.....7-1

7.1.1. Summary of Findings7-1

7.1.2. Methodology.....7-1

7.2. Existing Conditions7-2

7.2.1. Tree Inventory7-2

7.2.2. Vegetation and Ecological Communities7-2

7.2.3. Wildlife.....7-4

7.2.3.1. Birds7-4

7.2.3.2. Reptiles and Amphibians7-4

7.2.3.3. Mammals7-4

7.2.4. Threatened, Endangered, and Special Concern Species7-5

7.3. Future without the Proposed Project7-6

7.3.1. Vegetation.....7-6

7.3.2. Wildlife.....7-6

7.3.3. Threatened, Endangered, and Special Concern Species7-6

7.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project7-6

7.4.1. Vegetation and Ecological Communities7-7

7.4.2. Wildlife.....7-7

7.4.3. Threatened and Endangered and Species of Concern.....7-9

7.4.3.1. Large Twayblade7-9

7.4.3.2. Peregrine Falcon7-9

7.5. Mitigation Measures7-10

7.5.1. Landscaping.....7-10

7.5.2. Pruning and Removal Needs7-10

7.5.3. Wildlife.....7-10

7.6. Works Cited.....7-11

Chapter 8: Cultural Resources8-1

8.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings.....8-1

8.1.1. Archaeological Resources8-1

8.1.2. Architectural Resources.....8-1

8.2. Methodology.....8-2

8.2.1.	Architectural Resources Study Area Definition.....	8-2
8.2.2.	Identification of Architectural Resources	8-2
8.2.3.	State and National Registers of Historic Places Criteria.....	8-3
8.2.4.	White Plains Landmarks Criteria	8-3
8.2.5.	Evaluation of Potential Impacts On Historic Resources	8-4
8.3.	Archaeological Resources	8-4
8.4.	Development History of the Good Counsel Property	8-5
8.5.	Existing Conditions.....	8-5
8.5.1.	Known Architectural Resources On the Project Site	8-6
8.5.1.1.	Tilford House (1856).....	8-7
8.5.1.2.	Mapleton Building (1867)	8-7
8.5.1.3.	House of Nazareth (1891)	8-8
8.5.1.4.	Chapel (1897).....	8-8
8.5.1.5.	Heating Plant and Workshop (1898)	8-9
8.5.1.6.	St. Ann’s Cottage (1901).....	8-9
8.5.1.7.	Cooking School and Infirmary (1901–1902).....	8-9
8.5.1.8.	Convent (1908 and 1923, With 1959 Addition).....	8-9
8.5.1.9.	Carriage House and Stable (Circa 1910–1930)	8-10
8.5.2.	Potential Architectural Resources On the Project Site.....	8-11
8.5.3.	Known Architectural Resources in the Study Area.....	8-11
8.5.3.1.	Presbyterian Rest for Convalescents	8-11
8.5.3.2.	White Plains Fire Station No. 3.....	8-11
8.5.4.	Potential Architectural Resources in the Study Area.....	8-12
8.5.4.1.	Preston Hall	8-12
8.5.4.2.	Joseph and Bessie Gerber Glass Law Center	8-12
8.5.4.3.	Chateau Condominiums	8-13
8.5.4.4.	White Plains Presbyterian Church.....	8-13
8.6.	Future without the Proposed Project	8-13
8.6.1.	Project Site	8-13
8.6.2.	Study Area.....	8-13
8.7.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project On Historic Resources	8-14
8.7.1.	Project Site	8-14
8.7.1.1.	Changes to the Project Site.....	8-14
8.7.1.2.	Impacts Discussion.....	8-16
8.7.1.3.	Additional Consultation With NYS OPRHP.....	8-20
8.7.1.4.	Summary of Impacts to the Good Counsel Complex	8-22
8.7.1.5.	Direct Impacts	8-22
8.7.1.6.	Indirect Impacts.....	8-23
8.8.	Measures to Avoid, Minimize, and Mitigate Potential Impacts to Historic Resources	8-24
8.9.	Resources	8-25
8.9.1.	Map Research.....	8-25
8.9.2.	References	8-25
9:	Visual and Community Character	9-1
9.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	9-1
9.1.1.	Evaluating Impacts to Community Character	9-1
9.1.2.	Evaluating Visual Impacts	9-2
9.1.3.	Evaluating Shadow Impacts.....	9-3

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

9.2. Existing Conditions 9-4

 9.2.1. On-Site Visual Characteristics..... 9-4

 9.2.2. Surrounding Areas 9-4

 9.2.2.1. North..... 9-5

 9.2.2.2. South..... 9-5

 9.2.2.3. West..... 9-6

 9.2.2.4. East 9-7

 9.2.3. Views of Project Site 9-7

 9.2.3.1. Vantage Point 1: North Broadway at Main Site Driveway..... 9-7

 9.2.3.2. Vantage Point 2: North Broadway at Site’s Southern Boundary..... 9-8

 9.2.3.3. Vantage Point 3: North Broadway to North of Project Site..... 9-9

 9.2.3.4. Vantage Point 4: Crane Avenue 9-9

 9.2.3.5. Vantage Point 5: North Kensico Avenue and Hall Street..... 9-9

 9.2.3.6. Vantage Point 6: Stewart Place..... 9-10

 9.2.3.7. Vantage Point 7: North Kensico Avenue and Terrace Avenue 9-10

9.3. Future without the Proposed Project 9-10

9.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project 9-10

 9.4.1. On-Site Visual Characteristics..... 9-10

 9.4.2. Surrounding Areas..... 9-12

 9.4.3. Views of Project Site 9-13

 9.4.3.1. Vantage Point 1: North Broadway at Main Site Driveway..... 9-14

 9.4.3.2. Vantage Point 2: North Broadway at Site’s Southern Boundary..... 9-15

 9.4.3.3. Vantage Point 3: North Broadway to the North of Project Site..... 9-15

 9.4.3.4. Vantage Point 4: Crane Avenue 9-15

 9.4.3.5. Vantage Point 5: North Kensico Avenue and Hall Street..... 9-16

 9.4.3.6. Vantage Point 6: Stewart Place..... 9-16

 9.4.3.7. Vantage Point 7: North Kensico Avenue and Terrace Avenue 9-17

 9.4.4. Shadows..... 9-17

9.5. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Zoning 9-18

9.6. Mitigation Measures 9-18

10: Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts 10-1

 10.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings 10-1

 10.2. Existing Conditions..... 10-1

 10.2.1. Demographics 10-1

 10.2.1.1. Population..... 10-2

 10.2.1.2. Median Household Income..... 10-2

 10.2.1.3. Number of Housing Units..... 10-2

 10.2.1.4. Average Household Size..... 10-3

 10.2.1.5. Household Tenure..... 10-3

 10.2.1.6. Housing Units in Structure 10-3

 10.2.2. Fiscal Conditions..... 10-5

 10.2.2.1. Taxes..... 10-5

 10.3. Future without the Proposed Project..... 10-6

 10.3.1. Demographic Conditions..... 10-6

 10.3.2. Fiscal Conditions..... 10-6

 10.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project 10-6

 10.4.1. Demographic Conditions..... 10-6

10.4.2. Fiscal Conditions	10-7
10.5. Mitigation Measures	10-8
11: Community Facilities	11-1
11.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings	11-1
11.2. Public Safety	11-2
11.2.1. Existing Conditions	11-2
11.2.1.1. Police Bureau	11-2
11.2.1.2. Fire Bureau	11-2
11.2.1.3. Emergency Medical Services	11-2
11.2.1.4. History of Calls to the Project Site	11-2
11.2.2. Future without the Proposed Project	11-3
11.2.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	11-3
11.2.4. Mitigation Measures	11-4
11.3. Public Education	11-4
11.3.1. Existing Conditions	11-4
11.3.2. Future without the Proposed Project	11-6
11.3.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	11-6
11.3.3.1. Methodology	11-6
11.3.3.2. Impact of the Proposed Project	11-8
11.3.3.3. Verification of Potential Impacts	11-8
11.3.4. Mitigation Measures	11-9
11.4. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	11-10
11.4.1. Existing Conditions	11-10
11.4.1.1. Project Site	11-10
11.4.1.2. Citywide	11-10
11.4.1.3. Study Area	11-10
11.4.2. Future without the Proposed Project	11-11
11.4.2.1. Project Site	11-11
11.4.2.2. Citywide	11-12
11.4.2.3. Study Area	11-12
11.4.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	11-12
11.4.3.1. Site Specific Project Impacts	11-12
11.4.3.2. Citywide and Study Area Impacts	11-13
11.4.4. Mitigation Measures	11-13
11.5. Solid Waste and Recycling	11-14
11.5.1. Existing Conditions	11-14
11.5.2. Future without the Proposed Project	11-15
11.5.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	11-15
11.5.4. Mitigation Measures	11-16
12: Infrastructure and Utilities	12-1
12.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings	12-1
12.2. Water Supply	12-1
12.2.1. Existing Conditions	12-1
12.2.2. Future without the Proposed Project	12-2
12.2.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	12-2
12.2.4. Mitigation Measures	12-5

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

12.3. Sanitary Wastewater 12-5

 12.3.1. Existing Conditions..... 12-5

 12.3.2. Future without the Proposed Project 12-7

 12.3.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project..... 12-7

 12.3.4. Mitigation Measures..... 12-7

12.4. Energy Usage (Electricity and Gas)..... 12-7

 12.4.1. Existing Conditions 12-7

 12.4.2. Future without the Proposed Project 12-8

 12.4.3. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project..... 12-8

 12.4.3.1. Academic Building 12-8

 12.4.3.1. Residential Building 12-9

 12.4.3.2. Assisted-Living Facility..... 12-9

 12.4.4. Mitigation Measures..... 12-10

 12.4.4.1. Academic Building 12-10

 12.4.4.2. Residential Building 12-10

 12.4.4.3. Assisted-Living Facility..... 12-11

13: Traffic and Transportation 13-1

 13.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings 13-1

 13.1.1. Methodology 13-1

 13.2. Existing Conditions..... 13-2

 13.2.1. Roadway Characteristics in the Study Area 13-2

 13.2.2. Intersection Traffic Volumes 13-2

 13.2.3. Intersection Operations 13-3

 13.2.4. Public Transportation 13-3

 13.3. Future without the Proposed Project 13-3

 13.3.1. Traffic and Capacity Analysis for the Future without the Proposed Project... 13-3

 13.3.2. Public Transportation 13-4

 13.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project 13-4

 13.4.1. Site-Generated Traffic..... 13-4

 13.4.2. Traffic and Capacity Analysis for the Future with the Proposed Project..... 13-5

 13.4.3. Site-Generated Traffic to the Residential Neighborhoods to the North and South of the Project Site 13-6

 13.4.4. Accident Patterns 13-6

 13.4.5. Proposed On-Site Parking for Proposed Project 13-6

 13.4.5.1. Multi-Family Residential Housing 13-6

 13.4.5.2. Assisted-Living Facility..... 13-7

 13.4.5.3. Chapel and Mapleton Building 13-7

 13.4.5.4. Academic Housing..... 13-7

 13.4.6. Public Transportation 13-8

 13.5. Mitigation Measures 13-8

14: Air Quality 14-1

 14.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings 14-1

 14.1.1. Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts..... 14-1

 14.1.2. Principal Conclusions..... 14-2

 14.2. Existing Conditions..... 14-2

 14.3. Future without the Proposed Project 14-3

14.4.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	14-3
14.4.1.	Stationary Source Analysis	14-3
14.4.1.1.	HVAC Systems	14-3
14.4.1.2.	Emergency Generators	14-5
14.4.2.	Mobile Source Analysis	14-5
14.4.2.1.	CO Screening Criteria	14-5
14.4.2.2.	LOS Screening Analysis	14-6
14.4.2.3.	Capture Criteria Screening Analysis	14-6
14.4.2.4.	Volume Threshold Screening	14-6
14.5.	Mitigation Measures	14-7
15:	Noise	15-1
15.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	15-1
15.1.1.	Summary of Findings	15-1
15.1.2.	Noise Fundamentals	15-1
15.1.2.1.	A-Weighted Sound Level (dBA)	15-2
15.1.2.2.	Noise Descriptors Used in Impact Assessment	15-2
15.1.3.	Noise Standards and Impact Criteria	15-3
15.1.3.1.	City of White Plains Noise Control Law	15-3
15.1.3.2.	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation	15-3
15.1.3.3.	Project Impact Criteria	15-4
15.1.4.	Methodology	15-4
15.1.4.1.	Mobile Source Noise Methodology—Proportional Modeling	15-4
15.2.	Existing Conditions	15-5
15.2.1.	Selection of Noise Receptor Locations	15-5
15.2.2.	Noise Monitoring	15-6
15.2.3.	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	15-6
15.2.4.	Existing Noise Levels At Noise Receptor Locations	15-6
15.3.	Future without the Proposed Project	15-7
15.4.	Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project	15-8
15.4.1.	Mobile Sources of Noise (Traffic)	15-8
15.4.2.	Stationary Sources of Noise (Mechanical Equipment)	15-8
15.4.3.	Noise Exposure at Project Residences	15-9
15.5.	Mitigation Measures	15-9
16:	Hazardous Materials	16-1
16.1.	Introduction and Summary of Findings	16-1
16.2.	Existing Conditions	16-1
16.2.1.	Topography and Subsurface Conditions	16-1
16.2.2.	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	16-1
16.2.3.	Confirmation ESA	16-2
16.2.4.	Previous On-Site Fill Operations	16-3
16.2.4.1.	Filling Activities	16-3
16.2.4.2.	NYSDEC Action Requirements	16-3
16.2.4.3.	Site Investigation	16-3
16.2.4.4.	Remediation/Landfill Capping	16-4
16.2.5.	Additional Subsurface Investigations	16-5
16.2.5.1.	Soil & Soil Gas Investigation—2012	16-5

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

16.2.5.2. Geotechnical Investigation—2016 16-5

16.2.5.3. Notice of Violation—June 2017 16-6

16.3. Future without the Proposed Project 16-6

16.4. Potential Impacts of the Proposed Project 16-7

16.5. Mitigation Measures 16-7

16.6. Reference Materials (Appendix I)..... 16-9

17: Construction 17-1

17.1. Introduction and Summary of Findings 17-1

17.2. Construction Schedule 17-2

17.2.1. Phase 1 17-2

17.2.2. Phase 2 17-2

17.2.3. Phase 3 17-3

17.2.4. Phase 4 17-3

17.2.5. Phase 5 17-4

17.3. Construction Period Impacts and Mitigation 17-4

17.3.1. Traffic and Transportation 17-4

17.3.2. Air Quality 17-6

17.3.3. Noise 17-7

17.3.4. Blasting 17-9

17.3.5. Erosion and Sediment Control 17-10

17.3.6. Construction Management Protocol..... 17-11

17.4. Conclusion 17-11

18: Alternatives..... 18-1

18.1. No Action Alternative..... 18-1

18.2. Development Under Existing Zoning Alternative 18-2

18.2.1. General Zoning Requirements 18-2

18.2.1.1. Zoning Comparison 18-2

18.2.1.2. Potential Impacts of Development Under the Existing Zoning 18-6

18.2.2. Hypothetical Residential Development Under Existing Zoning 18-7

18.2.2.1. Description of Site Plan 18-8

18.2.2.2. Impacts of Hypothetical Residential Development Under Existing Zoning 18-8

18.3. Reduced Height Alternative..... 18-17

18.3.1. Description of Site Plan..... 18-17

18.3.2. Potential Impacts of the Reduced Height Alternative 18-18

18.3.2.1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy 18-18

18.3.2.2. Geology, Soils, and Topography 18-19

18.3.2.3. Surface Water and Wetlands 18-19

18.3.2.4. Stormwater Management..... 18-19

18.3.2.5. Vegetation and Wildlife..... 18-19

18.3.2.6. Cultural Resources..... 18-20

18.3.2.7. Visual and Community Character..... 18-20

18.3.2.8. Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts..... 18-22

18.3.2.9. Community Facilities..... 18-22

18.3.2.10. Infrastructure and Utilities 18-22

18.3.2.11. Traffic and Transportation 18-22

18.3.2.12. Air Quality and Noise 18-22

18.3.2.13. Hazardous Materials.....	18-23
18.3.2.14. Construction	18-23
18.4. Alternative Site Layout.....	18-23
18.4.1. Description of Site Plan	18-23
18.4.2. Potential Impacts of the Alternative Site Layout.....	18-26
18.4.2.1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	18-26
18.4.2.2. Geology, Soils, and Topography.....	18-26
18.4.2.3. Surface Water and Wetlands	18-28
18.4.2.4. Stormwater Management	18-28
18.4.2.5. Vegetation and Wildlife	18-27
18.4.2.6. Cultural Resources	18-27
18.4.2.7. Visual and Community Character	18-27
18.4.2.8. Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts	18-30
18.4.2.9. Community Facilities	18-30
18.4.2.10. Infrastructure and Utilities	18-30
18.4.2.11. Traffic and Transportation.....	18-30
18.4.2.12. Air Quality and Noise	18-30
18.4.2.13. Hazardous Materials.....	18-31
18.4.2.14. Construction	18-31
18.5. Partial Convent Preservation and Reduced Density Alternative	18-31
18.5.1. Description of the Site Plan	18-31
18.5.1.1. Partial Convent Preservation.....	18-31
18.5.1.2. Reduced Density	18-32
18.5.1.3. Reduced Height Multi-Family Residential.....	18-32
18.5.1.4. Reduced Size Academic Housing	18-34
18.5.1.5. Reduced Size Assisted Living Facility.....	18-34
18.5.2. Potential Impacts of the Partial Convent Preservation and Reduced Density Alternative	18-34
18.5.2.1. Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	18-34
18.5.2.2. Geology, Soils, and Topography.....	18-35
18.5.2.3. Surface Water and Wetlands	18-35
18.5.2.4. Stormwater Management	18-35
18.5.2.5. Vegetation and Wildlife	18-35
18.5.2.6. Cultural Resources	18-35
18.5.2.7. Visual and Community Character	18-36
18.5.2.8. Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts	18-39
18.5.2.9. Community Facilities	18-39
18.5.2.10. Infrastructure and Utilities	18-39
18.5.2.11. Traffic and Transportation.....	18-39
18.5.2.12. Air Quality and Noise	18-39
18.5.2.13. Hazardous Materials.....	18-39
18.5.2.14. Construction	18-40

19: Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 19-1

20: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources20-1

21: Growth-Inducing Aspects.....21-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: SEQRA Material

- Appendix A-1: Amended Zoning Petition
- Appendix A-2: 52 North Broadway DEIS Scoping Outline

Appendix AA: Easements and Covenants

- Appendix AA-1: Access Easement with Pace
- Appendix AA-2: Chapel Preservation Covenant
- Appendix AA-3: Fill Area Restrictive Covenant

Appendix B: Zoning

- Appendix B-1: RM-1.5 Permitted Uses
- Appendix B-2: Proposed PRDD Zoning
- Appendix B-3: Draft Outline of PRDD Master Plan
- Appendix B-4: Zoning Use Comparison

Appendix C: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Appendix D: Natural Resources

- Appendix D-1: Tree Survey
- Appendix D-2: Breeding Bird Atlas
- Appendix D-3: Herp Atlas
- Appendix D-4: Species Observed on-Site
- Appendix D-5: iPac
- Appendix D-6: NHP Correspondence
- Appendix D-7: NYSDEC Correspondence

Appendix E: Cultural Resources

- Appendix E-1: Initial SHPO Correspondence
- Appendix E-2: Alternative Analysis
- Appendix E-3: SHPO Response to initial Alternatives Analysis
- Appendix E-4: Property Reuse and Existing Conditions Studies
- Appendix E-5: SHPO 2/15/18 Correspondence

Appendix F: Community Facilities

- Appendix F-1: Emergency Services Correspondence
- Appendix F-2: Public School Children Multipliers
- Appendix F-3: WPPS Enrollment Case Study
- Appendix F-4: Open Space Recreation Memo for 60 South Broadway

Appendix FF: Flow Monitoring Report

Appendix G: Traffic Impact Study

Appendix H: Air Quality

Appendix I: Hazardous Materials

- Appendix I-1: Order on Consent–September 17, 2004
- Appendix I-2: Site Investigation Plan–August 18, 2004
- Appendix I-3: Site Investigation Report and Remediation Plan, January 10, 2005
- Appendix I-4: Property Deed for 52 North Broadway–August 24, 2006
- Appendix I-5: Notice of Violation–February 28, 2007
- Appendix I-6: Final Engineer’s Report–March 28, 2017
- Appendix I-7: Correspondence from NYSEDEC to Sisters of the Divine Compassion–October 22, 2014
- Appendix I-8: Correspondence from EnviroClean Services, LLC–August 12, 2012
- Appendix I-9: Correspondence from NYSDEC to WP Development NB, LLC–September 15, 2016
- Appendix I-10: Notice of Violation–June 26, 2017
- Appendix I-11: Phase I ESA for 52 North Broadway–January 2014
- Appendix I-12: Environmental Record Search for 52 North Broadway–March 10, 2017

Appendix J: Construction

- Appendix J-1: Sample City of White Plains Construction Management Protocol
- Appendix J-2: Sample City of White Plains Construction Management Plan
- Appendix J-3: City of White Plains’ Blasting Protocol

Appendix K: Alternative Site Layout

- Appendix K-1: Alternative Site Layout–Assisted-Living Plans
- Appendix K-2: Alternative Site Layout–Multi-Family Housing Plans

Appendix L: Preliminary Site Plan Drawings

List of Tables

1-1	Existing Site Zoning (RM-1.5).....	1-3
1-2	Zoning Comparison.....	1-5
1-3	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for All Buildings.....	1-6
1-4	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for Multi-Family Residential Buildings.....	1-6
1-5	Required Approvals.....	1-14
1-6	Projected Property Taxes (With 2016 Mill Rates)	1-25
1-7	Comparison of Building Stepbacks from Ross Street	1-37
1-8	Reduced Density Alternative Program.....	1-40
1-9	Comparison of Building Stepbacks from Ross Street	1-41
2-1	Existing Site Zoning (RM-1.5).....	2-3
2-2	Environmentally Sensitive Sites or Features.....	2-4
2-3	Use Comparison Summary.....	2-6
2-4	Zoning Comparison.....	2-7
2-5	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for All Buildings.....	2-8
2-6	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for Multi-Family Residential Buildings.....	2-8
2-7	Required Approvals.....	2-27
3-1	Existing Site Zoning (RM-1.5).....	3-5
3-2	Use Comparison Summary.....	3-6
3-3	Zoning Comparison.....	3-7
3-4	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for All Buildings.....	3-8
3-5	Proposed PRDD Stepbacks for Multi-Family Residential Buildings.....	3-8
3-6	Other Requirements of the Zoning Ordinance	3-10
4-1	Steep Slopes on the Project Site	4-1
4-2	On-Site Soils Mapped by NRCS	4-3
4-3	Cut and Fill Analysis.....	4-6
4-4	Steep Slopes on the Project Site	4-6
4-5	Impacts by Soil Type.....	4-7
6-1	Existing Peak Runoff Rates.....	6-2

6-2	Existing Runoff Volume	6-2
6-3	Peak Runoff Rate Comparison.....	6-4
6-4	Runoff Volume Comparison.....	6-4
7-1	Habitat Types	7-3
7-2	Impacts to Ecological Communities	7-7
8-1	Good Counsel Complex	8-7
9-1	Timeframe for Shadow Analysis	9-4
10-1	Population	10-2
10-2	Median Household Income	10-2
10-3	Number of Housing Units	10-3
10-4	Average Household Size.....	10-3
10-5	Household Tenure.....	10-4
10-6	Housing Units by Building Size (Units in Structure)	10-4
10-7	2014 Property Taxes	10-5
10-8	2017 Property Taxes	10-5
10-9	Projected Population of Proposed Project.....	10-7
10-10	Projected Property Taxes (With 2016 Mill Rates).....	10-7
11-1	WPPS Enrollment	11-5
11-2	White Plains School District Revenue Summary	11-5
11-3	White Plains City School District Year to Year Percent Change in Budget.....	11-5
11-4	Public School Age Children	11-8
11-5	Potential Costs to White Plains School District.....	11-8
11-6	PSAC Case Study Results.....	11-9
11-7	New York State Recreation Facility Design Guidelines.....	11-10
11-8	Publicly Accessible Open Space Resources with ½-Mile of Project Site.....	11-11
11-9	Study Area Open Space Adequacy—Existing	11-11
11-10	Study Area Open Space Adequacy—No Build	11-12
11-11	Open Space of the Proposed Project	11-13
11-12	Solid Waste Generation	11-15
12-1	Anticipated Domestic Water Usage.....	12-3
12-2	Anticipated Annual Domestic Water Usage	12-4
12-3	Anticipated Annual Water Usage Fees: Assisted-Living Facility Living.....	12-4
12-4	Anticipated Annual Water Usage Fees: Academic Housing	12-5

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

12-5 Anticipated Annual Water Usage Fees: Multi-Family Residential 12-5

12-6 Academic Building—Gas Loads 12-8

12-7 Residential Building—Gas Loads 12-9

12-8 Assisted-Living Facility—Gas Loads 12-10

13-1 Anticipated Site Generated Traffic 13-4

13-2 Level of Service Summary 13-5

14-1 Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data 14-3

15-1 Common Noise Levels 15-2

15-2 Noise Measurement Locations 15-6

15-3 Existing Noise Levels (dBA) 15-7

15-4 2022 Future Noise Levels without the Proposed Project (dBA) 15-7

15-5 2022 Future Noise Levels with the Proposed Project (dBA) 15-8

17-1 Preliminary Construction Truck Routing 17-6

17-2 Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment 17-8

18-1 Alternatives Impact Comparison 18-3

18-2 Use Comparison Summary 18-5

18-3 Bulk Zoning Requirements Comparison 18-6

18-4 Cut Fill Analysis for Hypothetical Residential Development under Existing Zoning 18-12

18-5 Steep Slope Disturbance: Hypothetical Residential Development under Existing Zoning 18-12

18-6 Cut Fill Analysis for Reduced Height Alternative 18-19

18-7 Steep Slope Disturbance: Reduced Height Alternative 18-19

18-8 Comparison of Building Stepbacks from Ross Street 18-25

18-9 Cut Fill Analysis for Alternative Site Layout 18-26

18-10 Steep Slope Disturbance: Alternative Site Layout 18-27

18-11 Reduced Density Alternative Program 18-32

18-12 Comparison of Building Stepbacks from Ross Street 18-33

List of Figures

See Volume 4: Figures

1: Executive Summary

- 1-1 Project Location
- 1-2 Aerial
- 1-3 Existing Conditions
- 1-4 Existing Topography
- 1-5 Existing Circulation
- 1-6 Zoning
- 1-7 Good Counsel Complex
- 1-8 Proposed PRDD Stepbacks
- 1-9 Proposed Project
- 1-10 Proposed Circulation
- 1-11 Proposed Project Site Section
- 1-12 Landscape Zones
- 1-13 Existing and Proposed Building Separation
- 1-14 Restrictive Covenant
- 1-15 Existing Zoning Hypothetical Site Plan
- 1-16 Existing Zoning Hypothetical Alternative – Section View
- 1-17 Reduced Height Alternative
- 1-18 Reduced Height Alternative – Section View
- 1-19 Alternative Site Layout
- 1-20 Alternative Site Layout – Section View
- 1-21 Proposed and Alternative Site Layout – Building Separation
- 1-22 Partial Convent Preservation and Reduced Density Alternative
- 1-23 Reduced Density Alternative – Section View

2: Project Description

- 2-1 Project Location
- 2-2 Aerial
- 2-3 Existing Conditions
- 2-4 Existing Topography
- 2-5 Existing Circulation
- 2-6 Zoning
- 2-7 Proposed PRDD Stepbacks
- 2-8 Proposed Project
- 2-9 Assisted Living Facility Representative Floor Plans
- 2-10 Assisted Living Facility Section View
- 2-11 Project Circulation
- 2-12 Multi-Family Residential Building Section View

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

- 2-13 Multi-Family Residential Building Representative Floor Plans
- 2-14 Proposed Project Site Section
- 2-15 Academic Housing Section View
- 2-16 Academic Housing Representative Floor Plan
- 2-17 Landscape Zones
- 2-18 Landscape Zone 1: Boulevard
- 2-19 Landscape Zone 2: Front Lawn
- 2-20 Landscape Zone 3: Chapel and Mapleton Building
- 2-21 Landscape Zone 4: Sunrise Assisted Living
- 2-22 Landscape Zone 5: Academic Housing
- 2-23 Landscape Zone 6: Multi-Family Residential Buildings
- 2-24 Landscape Zone 7: Interior Parks
- 2-25 Landscape Zone 8: Buffers
- 2-26 Good Counsel Complex
- 2-27 Restrictive Covenant

3: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy

- 3-1 Land Use
- 3-2 Approved and Pending Projects
- 3-3 Zoning
- 3-4 Proposed PRDD Stepbacks
- 3-5 Proposed Project Site Section
- 3-6 Existing and Proposed Building Separation
- 3-7 Pedestrian Connectivity

4: Geology, Soils, and Topography

- 4-1 Existing Topography
- 4-2 Steep Slopes
- 4-3 Bedrock
- 4-4 Soil Map

5: Surface Water and Wetlands

- 5-1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory
- 5-2 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Regulatory Freshwater Wetlands
- 5-3 FEMA Effective Flood Insurance Rate Map

6: Stormwater Management

- 6-1 Existing Drainage Areas
- 6-2 Proposed Drainage Areas

7: Vegetation and Wildlife

- 7-1 Ecological Communities
- 7-2 Ecological Communities of Proposed Project
- 7-3 Tree Removal Plan

Chapter 8: Cultural Resources

- 8-1 Project Location
- 8-2 Project Site and Study Area
- 8-3 Tilford House

- 8-4 Mapleton Building
- 8-5 House of Nazareth
- 8-6 Chapel
- 8-7 Heating Plant/Workshop and St. Ann's Cottage
- 8-8 Cooking School/Infirmary
- 8-9 Convent
- 8-10 Convent, 1959 Addition and the Carriage House and Stable
- 8-11 Study Area Known Architectural Resources
- 8-12 Study Area Potential Architectural Resources
- 8-13 Study Area Potential Architectural Resources
- 8-14 Study Area Potential Architectural Resources
- 8-15 Study Area Potential Architectural Resources

9: Visual and Community Character

- 9-1 Existing Community Building Height
- 9-2 Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University
- 9-3 Crane Avenue Neighborhood
- 9-4 Multi-Family Residential Buildings Adjacent to Project Site
- 9-5 Southern Neighborhood: Two- and Three-Story Residential Buildings
- 9-6 Setbacks Along North Broadway
- 9-7 North Broadway Apartments
- 9-8 Turnure Park
- 9-9 Multi-Family Building South of Project Site Photos
- 9-10 Multi-Family Building South of Project Site Photos
- 9-11 Existing Building Separation
- 9-12 North Broadway West
- 9-13 Vantage Point Locations
- 9-14 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 1
- 9-15 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 2
- 9-16 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 3
- 9-17 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 4
- 9-18 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 5
- 9-19 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 6
- 9-20 Existing Conditions – Vantage Point 7
- 9-21 Site Lighting – Proposed Project
- 9-22 Lighting Fixture Examples
- 9-23 Proposed Sign Locations
- 9-24 Existing and Proposed Building Separation
- 9-25 Precedent Façade Design Images
- 9-26 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 1
- 9-27 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 2
- 9-28 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 3
- 9-29 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 4
- 9-30 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 5
- 9-31 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 6
- 9-32 Existing and Proposed: Vantage Point 7
- 9-33 Proposed Project: New Shadows on March 21
- 9-34 Proposed Project: New Shadows on June 21

52 North Broadway Redevelopment DEIS

9-35 Proposed Project: New Shadows on December 21

10: Socioeconomic and Fiscal Impacts

- 10-1 Socioeconomic Study Area
- 10-2 Comparable Valuations

11: Community Facilities

- 11-1 Public Safety Services Locations
- 11-2 Public School Locations
- 11-3 White Plains Public School Enrollment
- 11-4 Open Space Resources
- 11-5 Open Space and Recreation Areas
- 11-6 Proposed Circulation

12: Infrastructure and Utilities

- 12-1 Existing Water Service
- 12-2 Proposed Water Service
- 12-3 Existing Sanitary Sewer Mains
- 12-4 Sanitary Sewer Flow Monitoring Locations
- 12-5 Proposed Sanitary Sewer Mains

13: Traffic and Transportation

- 13-1 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-2 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-3 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-4 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-5 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-6 Existing Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-7 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-8 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-9 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-10 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-11 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-12 Year 2022 No Build Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-13 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-14 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-15 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-16 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-17 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-18 Project-Generated Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-19 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-20 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-21 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak AM Hour
- 13-22 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-23 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour
- 13-24 Year 2022 Build with Proposed Project Traffic Volume – Peak PM Hour

15: Noise

15-1 Noise Measurement Locations

16: Hazardous Materials

16-1 Restrictive Covenant

17: Construction

17-1 Construction Schedule and Manpower by Month

17-2 Construction Phase 1

17-3 Construction Phase 2

17-4 Construction Phase 3

17-5 Construction Phase 4

17-6 Construction Phase 5

18: Alternatives

18-1 Existing Zoning Hypothetical Site Plan

18-2 Existing Zoning Hypothetical Alternative – Section View

18-3 Ecological Communities of the Existing Zoning Alternative

18-4 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 1

18-5 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 2

18-6 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 3

18-7 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 4

18-8 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 5

18-9 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 6

18-10 Views of Project Site with Alternatives: Vantage Point 7

18-11 Site Lighting – Existing Zoning Hypothetical Site Plan

18-12 Reduced Height Alternative

18-13 Reduced Height Alternative – Section View

18-14 Ecological Communities of the Reduced Height Alternative

18-15 Reduced Height Alternative: New Shadows on March 21

18-16 Reduced Height Alternative: New Shadows on June 21

18-17 Reduced Height Alternative: New Shadows on December 21

18-18 Site Lighting – Reduced Height Alternative

18-19 Alternative Site Layout

18-20 Alternative Site Layout – Section View

18-21 Proposed and Alternative Site Layout – Building Separation

18-22 Ecological Communities of the Alternative Site Layout

18-23 Alternative Site Layout: New Shadows on March 21

18-24 Alternative Site Layout: New Shadows on June 21

18-25 Alternative Site Layout: New Shadows on December 21

18-26 Site Lighting – Alternative Site Layout

18-27 Open Space and Recreation Areas – Alternative Site Layout

18-28 Partial Convent Preservation and Reduced Density Alternative

18-29 Reduced Density Alternative – Section View

*